UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/594,422	09/26/2006	Akira Kitano	160-513	3248		
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 03/13/200 NDERHYE. PC	EXAMINER				
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	CARTER, MICHAEL W				
ARLINGTON,	VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2828			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			03/13/2009	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	pplication No. Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary			10/594,422		KITANO ET AL.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			MICHAEL C	ARTER	2828				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commur Reply	nication appe	ears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>14 Jan</i>	nuary 2009						
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 January 2009</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
' —		<i>,</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is			
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition	n of Claims								
4)⊠ C	laim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the	application.							
4a	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.								
· ·	laim(s) is/are objected to.								
•	laim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or	election req	uirement.					
Application	n Papers								
9)□ Th	ne specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.							
•	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are			objected to by the I	Examiner.				
•			•	-					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice of the control of the cont) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fition Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) lo(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4 5 6	T =	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/594,422 Page 2

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6-9, 14-19, and 21 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US PG Pub 2004/0124500 (Kawagoe) in view of JP Patent 5-190980 (Norihiro).
- 3. **For claims 1-4**, Kawagoe teaches, a nitride semiconductor laser element comprising: a semiconductor stacked structure including a semiconductor layer of a first conductivity type (figure 1, labels 103-106), an active layer (figure 1, label 107) and a semiconductor layer of a second conductivity type (figure 1, labels 188-111), which are stacked one upon the other and each comprises a nitride (paragraph 28); a striped waveguide region for a laser light provided on the semiconductor layer of the second conductivity type (figure 1, label 111).
- 4. Kawage does not teach an insulative region, formed by implanting ions, for reducing the capacitance of the element, wherein a pn-junction of the semiconductor layer at a peripheral region remote from the waveguide region is broken the insulative region being present remote from at least a portion of an external edge of the semiconductor stacked structure when viewed in plan, said external edge being remote from the striped waveguide region.
- 5. However, Norihiro teaches an insulative region, formed by implanting ions, for reducing the capacitance of the element, wherein a pn-junction of the semiconductor

Application/Control Number: 10/594,422

Art Unit: 2828

layer at a peripheral region remote from the waveguide region is broken the insulative region being present remote from at least a portion of an external edge of the semiconductor stacked structure when viewed in plan, said external edge being remote from the striped waveguide region in order to increase the speed of a laser (figure 1 and paragraphs 5-6) as discussed in the previous office action.

Page 3

- 6. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine the insulating region taught by Norihiro with Kawagoe's laser in order to increase the speed of the laser.
- 7. The applicant argues that Norihiro does not teach the insulative region is present apart from the waveguide region and an external edge remote from the striped portion. However, as discussed in the interview with the applicant, while region 8 in the prior art does go to the edge in figure 1, it is also present remote from that same edge. That is, the claim does not require that the region does not contact the edge, only that it is present at a location remote from the edge, for example the center of region 8 is remote from the edge.
- 8. **For claim 4**, Kawagoe and Norihiro are applied as to claims 1-3. Further, Kawagoe teaches a substrate (figure 1, label 101), an embedded insulation film covering a side face of the waveguide region and a surface of the semiconductor layer of the second conductivity type (figure 1, label 162), a first electrode in contact with a surface of the waveguide region (figure 1, label 120), a protective insulation film covering at least a part of the embedded insulation film (figure 1, label 164), a second

electrode substantially connected to the semiconductor layer of the first conductivity type (figure 1, label 121).

- 9. **For claim 6**, the combination does not teach the insulative region for reducing the capacitance of the element has a peak of distribution of the impurity concentration in the depth direction in the range from 200 nm to 1 µm from the surface of the semiconductor layer of the second conductivity type.
- 10. However, it has been held that discovering a workable range involves only routine skill in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to discover the workable range for the depth of implantation in order to form the insulative region as discussed for claim 1.
- 11. **For claim 7**, the combination teaches the first electrode is formed in contact with the surface of the waveguide region so as to cover a part of the embedded insulation film (figure 1), a pad electrode is formed in contact with the first electrode so as to cover a part of the protective insulation film (figure 1, label 122), and the insulative region for reducing the capacitance of the element includes a region below the embedded insulation film (figure1 of both Kawage and Norihiro).
- 12. **For claim 8**, the combination is applied as to claim 7. Further, the combination teaches the insulation region for reducing the capacitance of the element includes at least the first electrode or a region below the pad electrode (figure1 of both Kawage and Norihiro). The combination further teaches the first electrode (Kawagoe, figure 1, label 120 and Norihiro, figure 1, label 9) is formed in contact with the surface of the waveguide region (Kawagoe, figure 1, label 111) so as to cover a part of the embedded

Art Unit: 2828

insulation pad (Kawagoe, figure 1, label 162) a pad electrode is formed in contact with the first electrode so as to cover a part of the protective insulation film (figure 1, label 122) and the insulative region for reducing the capacitance of the element includes a region below the first electrode or the pad electrode embedded insulation film (figure 1 of both Kawagoe and Norihiro).

- 13. **For claim 9,** Kawagoe teaches the semiconductor laser element is a laser element for emitting bluish-purple light (paragraphs 2 and 5).
- 14. The combination does not teach the responsiveness of the laser to input of pulse drive current. However, as discussed for claim 1, the combination does teach speeding up a laser. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to set the responsiveness according to the speed required by an application using the laser, and no special significance is given to a response time of 1 ns.
- 15. Claim 14 is rejected according to the rejection of claims 1 and 9 above
- 16. **For claim 15**, the art is applied according to the rejection of claim 8.
- 17. **For claim 16,** Norihiro further teaches the insulative region for reducing the capacitance of the element is present remote from a resonance surface of the semiconductor laser. Paragraph [0006], 2) states that O+ ions are injected using the SiO₂ stripe as a mask. The mask covers the center portion of the laser and O+ is therefore deposited down the sides of the mask. Portions of the deposition are remote from the resonance surface.
- 18. For claim 17-19 and 21, the prior art does not explicitly teach the semiconductor

Art Unit: 2828

stacked structure is rectangular when viewed in plan. However, it is a standard design to form a ridge waveguide laser with a rectangular structure when viewed in plan. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form a rectangular structure to form an edge emitting device. See for example Nagashima et al. US Patent 6,697,407 (hereinafter referred to as Nagashima) figure 4c. While Nagashima does not show the full structure in plan, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that there is a matching back surface which forms the laser cavity.

- 19. **Claim 5** remains rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawagoe, in view of Norihiro, and further in view of US PG Pub 2001/0006529 (Komori).
- 20. **For claim 5**, Kawagoe and Norihiro remain applied as to claim 1.
- 21. The combination does not teach the insulative region for reducing the capacitance of the element has an impurity peak concentration in the range from 1*10¹⁸ to 5*10²¹ atms/cm³.
- 22. However, Komori does teach using a concentration 3*10¹⁸ atms/cm³ in order to create a current blocking layer (paragraph 41).
- 23. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to determine the workable range, including 3*10¹⁸ atms/cm³, for impurity concentration in order create a current blocking layer, as discussed for claim 1, since it has been held that discovering a workable range only involves routine skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/594,422

Art Unit: 2828

24. Claims 11-13 and 20 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawagoe, in view of US Patent 6,697,407 (Nagashima).

Page 7

- 25. **For claim 11**, Kawagoe teaches a nitride semiconductor laser element characterized by comprising: a semiconductor layer of a first conductivity type (figure 1, labels 103-106), an active layer (figure 1, label 107) and a semiconductor layer of a second conductivity type being different from the first conductivity type (figure 1, labels 188-111), which are stacked on a main surface of a substrate and each comprises a nitride (paragraph 28); and a striped waveguide region for a laser light provided on the semiconductor layer of the second conductivity type (figure 1, label 111).
- 26. Kawagoe does not teach wherein at least a part of the semiconductor layer of the second conductivity type serves as a region for reducing the capacitance of the element by being converted into the first conductivity type in a direction of thickness at a peripheral region remote from at least a portion of an external edge remote from the waveguide region.
- 27. However, Nagashima does teach at least a part of the semiconductor layer of the second conductivity type serves as a region for reducing the capacitance of the element by being converted into the first conductivity type in a direction of thickness at a peripheral region remote from the waveguide region (figure 1, label 30) in order to form a current blocking layer (column 10, lines 33-38). Nagashima further teaches the region of the second conductivity type (figure 1, label 26) between the regions of the first conductivity type (figure 1, labels 30) shows the region for reducing capacitance is remote from at least a portion of an external edge remote from the waveguide region.

Application/Control Number: 10/594,422

Art Unit: 2828

28. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to convert part of the second type layer in Kawagoe into a first type layer according to Nagashima in order to form a current blocking layer.

Page 8

- 29. As in the discussion of claim 1 above, while the capacitance reducing rejoin is present at the edge, it is also present remote from the edge.
- 30. **For claim 12**, Nagashima further teaches an npn structure (figure 1, labels 21, 29, and 30) in the peripheral region remote from the waveguide region, wherein the semiconductor layer of the first conductivity type is an n-type semiconductor layer, and the semiconductor layer of the second conductivity type is a p-type semiconductor layer.
- 31. **For claim 13**, Nagashima further teaches a pnpn structure (figure 1, labels 21, 29, 30, and 31) in the peripheral region remote from the waveguide region, wherein the semiconductor layer of the first conductivity type is an n-type semiconductor layer, and the semiconductor layer of the second conductivity type is a p-type semiconductor layer.
- 32. **For claim 20**, the prior art does not explicitly teach the semiconductor stacked structure is rectangular when viewed in plan. However, it is a standard design to form a ridge waveguide laser with a rectangular structure when viewed in plan. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form a rectangular structure to form an edge emitting device. See for example Nagashima et al. US Patent 6,697,407 (hereinafter referred to as Nagashima) figure 4c. While Nagashima does not show the full structure in plan, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that there is a matching back surface which forms the laser cavity.

Application/Control Number: 10/594,422 Page 9

Art Unit: 2828

Response to Arguments

33. Applicant's arguments filed 1/14/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The arguments have been addressed in the rejection of the independent claims above.

Conclusion

- 34. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL CARTER whose telephone number is (571)270-1872. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00 a.m.-4:30 p.m., EST.
- 35. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minsun Harvey can be reached on (571) 272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 36. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/594,422 Page 10

Art Unit: 2828

/MC/

/Minsun Harvey/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2828